The Frankfurt Institute **Clinical Cancer Researc** 

# IKF-s614: INSIGHT 003 evaluating feasibility of eftilagimod alpha (soluble LAG-3) combined with 1st line chemoimmunotherapy in metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) adenocarcinomas – a multicenter early phase trial –

<u>Akin Atmaca<sup>2</sup>, Daniel W. Mueller<sup>1</sup>, Timorshah Habibzada<sup>2</sup>, Marina Schaaf<sup>1</sup>, Jorge Klagges<sup>1</sup>, Regina Eickhoff<sup>1</sup>, Elke Jäger<sup>2</sup>, Salah-Eddin Al-Batran<sup>1,2</sup>, Thorsten O. Goetze<sup>2</sup></u> <sup>1</sup>Frankfurter Institut für Klinische Krebsforschung IKF am Krankenhaus Nordwest, Frankfurt am Main, <sup>2</sup>Krankenhaus Nordwest, University Cancer Center Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

Study identifiers: EudraCT-No.: 2016-002309-20 | Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT03252938 | IKF-s614

BACKGROUND

Stratum C of the INSIGHT multicenter platform trial evaluates effilagimod alpha (effi) From August 2021 until April 2023, 21 patients wer combined with standard of care (SOC) 1<sup>st</sup> line chemo-immunotherapy (IC) in and received treatment. **Baseline Disease Characteristics:** metastatic non-squamous NSCLC patients (pts). Efti is an MHC class II agonist Median age: 65 years; 66.7% male; Table 1. (soluble LAG-3 protein) activating antigen-presenting cells followed by T-cell Almost all (91 %) pts had metastatic disease at st (CD4/CD8) activation. Efti aims to enhance efficacy of IC. We hereby report the and 81 % had a PD-L1 TPS < 50 %. results from the first cohort of 21 pts.

Treatment of patients and collection of data is ongoing.

### Figure 1: Mechanism of action of efti

Efti is soluble LAG-3 protein (LAG-3 domains fused to human backbone). Activating lgG Antigen Presenting Cells (APCs) leads to a broader response, including immune increases in activated T cells (CD4/CD8) to fight cancer.



# METHODS

Patients with 1<sup>st</sup> line advanced or metastatic NSCLC adenocarcinomas (nonsquamous) receive carboplatin AUC5 / pemetrexed 500 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w 4 cycles followed by optional pemetrexed 500 mg/m<sup>2</sup> q3w maintenance plus pembrolizumab 200 mg q3w combined with s.c. efti (30 mg) (q2w for 24 weeks; thereafter q3w till week 52). Imaging: q8w. Primary endpoint: feasibility (safety / tolerability). Secondary endpoints include ORR\*, PFS\* and OS. \* Per RECIST 1.1.



# **SUMMARY & CONCLUSION**

Eftilagimod alpha combined with SOC in NSQ 1<sup>st</sup> line NSCLC (carboplatin/ pemetrexed/pembrolizumab) led to ORR >60%. For PD-L1 TPS <50% patient population, median PFS was 10.9 months and ORR 70.6%. Adding efti appears not to increase toxicity of the chemo-immunotherapy regimen. This combination standard appears to be feasible and safe, showing promising efficacy signals irrespective of PD-L1 expression status and especially in pts with **FPS** score < 50 %. Further evaluation of this regimen is strongly warranted (the cohort has been increased to 50 pts).

- Efficacy:
- At data cut-off, unconfirmed ORR of 71.4% (confir of 66.7%; **Table 2**).
- With a median follow up of 12.4 months, the ITT has of 10.1 mo (Table 2, Figure 3) and mOS was not re
- Pts with negative or low PD-L1 status (TPS <50% unconfirmed ORR of 70.6%, mPFS 10.9 mo and reached (Table 4, Figure 4).

Table 2: Efficacy Overview								
Best Overall Response (BOR) by RECIST 1.1	N=21 n (%)	PFS by RECIST 1.1 & OS	N=21					
Complete Response	0 (0.0)	mOS ITT (% events)	NR (19.0)					
Partial Response	15 (71.4)	12  mo OS rate  %	84.7					
Stable Disease	4 (19.0)	12-110 US rate, 70						
Progression	2 (9.5)	mPFS ITT (% events)	10.1 (52.4)					
ORR confirmed, n (%)	14 (66.7)	6-mo PFS rate, %	80.4					
ORR unconfirmed, n (%)	15 (71.4)							
DCR, n (%)	19 (90.5)	12-mo PFS rate, %	43.6					

Safety & Exposure:

- Median number of administrations of therapy: efti: 16, Pembrolizumab: 12, Pemetrexed: 10, Platin: 4.
- No occurrence of unacceptable toxicities.
- 11 SAE (grade 1-2: 3; grade 3: 5; grade 4: 0; grade 5: 3) were reported (**Table 3**) in 7 pts (33 %).
- 1 pancreatitis and 1 allergic reaction were considered SUSARs.
- The most frequent AEs are listed in table 3.

Table 3: Summarized SAEs and AEs								
Number of SAEs	N=11	Number of AEs	N=337					
Patients with ≥ 1 SAE	7	Patients with ≥ 1AE	21					
Patients with $\geq 1$ SAE with relation to study treatment	3 (efti: 2)	Patients with $\ge$ 1 AE with relation to study treatment	20					
SUSARs	Grade 3 Pancreatitis	Number of AEs Grade ≥3	101					
	Grade 3 Allergic Reaction	Number of AEs related to efti	49					
Number of SAEs Grade ≥3	8 Dyspnea (18.2%)		Anemia (85.7%) Grade 1-2: 52.4% Grade 3: 33.3%					
	Bronchial infection (9.1%) Pancreatitis (9.1%)		Neutropenia (85.7%) Grade 2: 14.3% Grade 3-4: 71.5%					
Listing of SAE torms	Diarrhea (9.1%)	Most frequent AEs (incidence >10%)	Leukopenia (76.2%) Grade 1-2: 28.6% Grade 3-4: 47.6%					
LISUNG OF OAL LENNS	(9.1%) Mucositis oral (9.1%) Disease progression (9.1%)	_ 10 /0)	Thrombocyopenia (66.7%) Grade 1-2: 42.8% Grade 3-4: 23.8%					
	Lung infection (9.1%) Allergic reaction (9.1%)		Fatigue (52.4%) Grade 1-2: 42.8%					

RESULTS								
re enrolled	Table 1: Baseline Characteristics							
	Baseline parameters		N=21	(0)				
study entry	Age, median (range), years		65 (55-73)	val (%				
	Sex, n (%)	Female / Male	7 (33) / 14 (67)	Survi				
rmed ORR	ECOG PS score, n (%)	0 / 1	11 (52) / 10 (48)	-Free				
ad a mPFS eached. %) showed d mOS not	Metastatic disease, n (%)	Yes / No	19 (91) / 2 (9)	ssion				
	PD-L1 expression TPS, n (%)	<1% 1-49% ≥50%	7 (33) 10 (48) 4 (19)	Progre				

Table 4: Efficacy Overview by PD-L1 status							
	PD-L1 expression level (TPS)						
Tumor Response	<1%, N=7	1-49%, N=10	≥50%, N=4	<50%, N=17			
ORR* unconfirmed, n (%)	5 (71.4)	7 (70.0)	3 (75.0)	12 (70.6)			
ORR* confirmed, n (%)	5 (71.4)	6 (60.0)	3 (75.0)	11 (64.7)			
mPFS*, months (% events)	10.1 (42.9)	10.9 (60.0)	7.1 (50.0)	10.9 (52.9)			
mOS, months (% events)	17.4 (28.6)	NR (10)	NR (25)	NR (17.6)			
* Per RECIST 1.1.							



Study Support

Grade 3: 9.5%

Study supported by Immutep GmbH (grant/IMP)

Disclosures TOG: no conflict of interest AA: no conflict of interest.



rankfurter Institut

#1042P

### e 3: Progression-Free Survival



### Figure 4: Best Overall Response from Baseline by PD-L1 status

5	30	0	0	0	20	20	5	60	40	30	0	60	Absolute PD-L1 TPS (%)
R	PR	Unconfirmed BOR											

		Visit our Website
Contact Information		¥×≤
First author:	Dr. med. Akin Atmaca, atmaca.akin@khnw.de	
Lead Investigator	Prof. Dr. med. Thorsten O. Goetze, goetze.thorsten@ikf-khnw.de	
Study management	Dr. Sabine Beck, <u>beck.sabine@ikf-khnw.de</u> Dr. Ulas Tenekeci, <u>tenekeci.ulas@ikf-khnw.de</u>	

**The Frankfurt Institute** of Clinical Cancer Research